

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION No 1810 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.J.PANDYA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

SR KARNIK

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

NANAVATI ASSOCIATES for Petitioners
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 1
MR ASHWIN V BHATT for Respondent No. 2
MR RD RAVAL for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.J.PANDYA

Date of decision: 23/09/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Copy of agreement by which the parties have settled the matter is taken on record. The agreement is dated 5.3.1997. Pursuant thereto, the original complaint has been withdrawn. Obviously, therefore, nothing now remains to be quashed. The original complainant, respondent No.2, has also filed an affidavit attached to which is the pursis of withdrawal produced before the trial court. This is also taken on record. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as not surviving. Rule is discharged.

(ers)